

University of Groningen

Dose conversions

Naunton, Mark; Brouwers, Jacobus R.; Duyvendak, Michiel

Published in:
 American Journal of Medicine

DOI:
[10.1016/j.amjmed.2007.09.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2007.09.027)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
 Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
 2007

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Naunton, M., Brouwers, J. R., & Duyvendak, M. (2007). Dose conversions: Opportunity for error. *American Journal of Medicine*, 120(11), E1-E1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2007.09.027>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



ELSEVIER

CLINICAL COMMUNICATION TO THE EDITOR

Dose Conversions: Opportunity for Error

To the Editor:

Most medication errors occur at the ordering stage and are largely preventable.¹ We report a “near miss” in our hospital that would have almost certainly resulted in harm to our patient if it remained undetected. Our 86-year-old patient was admitted to the hospital for repair of a fractured hip. Her medical history included established osteoporosis, hypertension, and transient ischemic attack. She was unable to swallow and her treating surgeon switched all of her oral medications to an alternative route. Unfortunately, the surgeon also changed metoprolol 50 mg orally twice a day to 50 mg *intravenously* (IV) twice a day. The pharmacy department was contacted to deliver the IV metoprolol because the orthopedic ward did not normally stock this. The pharmacist noticed the error after a pharmacy technician realized that there was not a 50 mg metoprolol ampule available and sought advice from the pharmacist on how to deal with the request. The prescriber was then contacted and alerted to the error. The surgeon was not aware that there was a need to convert the oral dose to a different IV dose (metoprolol 5 mg IV twice daily would have been an appropriate dose).

Steps are needed to be taken to ensure any potential errors are minimized as much as possible. For example, electronic prescribing systems may assist in preventing similar errors by alerting the prescriber before the order can be processed. In addition, pharmacists on the hospital wards who prescribers can consult easily may assist in preventing errors at the ordering stage. A large observational study by Bond et al² identified that clinical pharmacy services in hospitals are associated with improvement in mortality, drug costs, cost of care, and length of stay. Bond et al³ also reported lower medication error rates as the number of clinical pharmacists increased per occupied bed.

Requests for reprints should be addressed to Mark Naunton, PhD, Faculty of Education, Health & Science, School of Science (Building 42), Charles Darwin University, Darwin 0909, Northern Territory, Australia.

E-mail address: mark.naunton@cdu.edu.au.

Kaboli et al⁴ recently reviewed the literature from the last 20 years and concluded that the addition of clinical pharmacist services in the care of inpatients generally resulted in improved care, with no evidence of harm.

Most 10-fold errors seem to occur due to misplaced decimal points, for example, adding an extra zero.⁵ Our case involving a 10-fold error should alert doctors for the need to be vigilant when converting oral administration to alternative routes. In addition, it is prudent for prescribers to have their prescriptions checked, preferably by a pharmacist. Fortunately, in our case any likely harm was averted.

Mark Naunton, PhD

*Faculty of Education, Health & Science
Charles Darwin University
Northern Territory, Australia*

Jacobus R. Brouwers, PhD

*Department of Pharmacotherapy and Pharmaceutical Care
University of Groningen
Groningen, The Netherlands*

Michiel Duyvendak, MPharm

*Department of Clinical Pharmacy
Tjongerschans Hospital
Heerenveen, The Netherlands*

doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2006.09.027

References

1. Bates DW, Cullen DJ, Laird N, et al. Incidence of adverse drug events and potential adverse drug events. Implications for prevention. ADE Prevention Study Group. *JAMA*. 1995;274:29-34.
2. Bond CA, Raehl CL, Franke T. Interrelationships among mortality rates, drug costs, total cost of care, and length of stay in United States hospitals: summary and recommendations for clinical pharmacy services and staffing. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2001;21:129-141.
3. Bond CA, Raehl CL, Franke T. Clinical pharmacy services, hospital pharmacy staffing, and medication errors in United States hospitals. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2002;22:134-147.
4. Kaboli PJ, Hoth AB, McClimon BJ, Schnipper JL. Clinical pharmacists and inpatient medical care: a systematic review. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166:955-964.
5. Lesar TS, Briceland L, Stein DS. Factors related to errors in medication prescribing. *JAMA*. 1997;277:312-317.